PROCESSING BIOMEDICAL IMAGES ON THE GPU: IMPLEMENTATION OF AN OPTIMIZED CUDA LIBRARY Antonio Ruiz, Manuel Ujaldón, Timothy Hartley, Umit Catalyurek, Francisco Igual, Rafael Mayo

Universidad de Málaga (Málaga, Spain), Ohio State University (Coumbus, USA) and Universitat Jaume I (Castellón, Spain)

Summary and Motivation

• Cancer prognosis: early detection of cancer • Based on the evaluation of tissue samples \Rightarrow large scale images • Main goal: Optimize the execution of biomedical image analysis

General Framework and Methodolog	gy
----------------------------------	----

Process overview



Tile P	rocessing. Color Conver	rsion
	$\begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix}$	



- Why do we need HPC here?
- 1. Due to the large size of the images
- A typical $120K \times 120K$ image occupies more than **40GB**
- 2. Due to the large processing time on CPU



- 3. Due to the large number of medical samples per patient
- Months or even years of computation
- Our result: optimized library of biomedical image analysis and classification kernels, including:
- Color conversion
- Feature extraction routines
- Classifiers



- Introduced by Haralick in 1973
- Joint histogram of intensity levels of a pair of pixels with a given spatial relationship $[d_x, d_y]$



- Spatial domain filter \Rightarrow direct way to capture texture properties
- Legendre and Zernike polynomials represent an image by a set of mutually independent descriptors
- The moments within a window centered at a given pixel can be

• **Problem**: computational cost (up to order M for an $N \times N$

Execution times on a 1024x1024 image Speed-up on GPU versus:

Direct MUKUNDAN HWANG Al-Rawi

73.20x 13.57x

104.38x 23.47x

152.53x 38.99x

193.36x 56.24x

223.43x 73.17x

257.56x 95.21x 1.05x

(1995) (2006) (2008)

3.28x

1.48x

1.23x

1.14x

1.03x

interpreted as a convolution of the image with a mask

• The more moments \Rightarrow The better reconstructed image

image requires $O(M^2N^2)$ adds and mults)

• Experimental results:

ments of Mukundan Hwang Al-Rawi

All mo-

- Intermediate data structure to extract features: contrast, correla $tion, \ldots$
- Simple example: for a 4×4 window, and four intensity levels:



- Co-occurrence matrix calculation is a **CPU-like** operation
- Goal: optimize it for GPU calculation
- Main optimization strategies:
- 1. Discretized co-occurrence matrices \Rightarrow Smaller \Rightarrow Fit in **shared** memory
- 2. Non discretized co-occurrence matrices \Rightarrow Use sparse representations \Rightarrow Fit in **shared memory**
- 3. Per-pixel calculation of the co-occurrence matrix \Rightarrow Argenti's **method** (neighbour co-oc. matrices are related)



• Potential optimizations according to the shape of the matrix:

Feature Extraction: Co-occurrence matrices (II)

- Diagonal dense storage
- Improvement of insertions on sparse formats
- Blocked computation of the diagonal values of the co-occurrence matrix (in progress)

• Results:

Impact of discretization			 an order	(1995)	(2006)	(2008)	on GPU	(19)						
Impact	of di	screuz	ation		Impaci	J OI W	mdow	size	 $A_{4,*}$ (3)	1 391.0	258.0	62.5	19.0	73.2
Co. size	CPU	Dense	S.up		Window	CPU	Sparse	S.up	 $A_{8,*}$ (5)	$3\ 820.5$	859.0	54.5	36.6	104.3
16x16	2.82	0.23	12.26x		16x16	2.82	0.39	7.23x	 $A_{12,*}(7)$	7 703	$1 \ 969.0$	62.5	50.5	152.5
32x32	2.82	0.31	9.09x		32x32	3.04	0.74	4.10x	 $A_{16,*}(9)$	$13 \ 187.5$	3 836.0	78.0	68.2	193.3
64x64	2.82	0.67	4.20x		64x64	3.08	1.74	1.77x	 $A_{20*}(11)$	20 109.5	6 586.0	93.5	90.0	223.4
128×128	2.82	2.09	1.34x		128×128	2.94	7.70	0.38x	 $A_{24} + (13)$	28 719	106170	1175	111.5	257 !
256x256	2.82	7.58	0.37x		256×256	2.96	46.49	0.06x	 1124,* (10)	20 110	10 011.0			20110
	•			•					• More pote	ential op	timizatio	ons to b	e impleme	ented

• Each optimization focuses a given scenario

Conclusions and Further Work

- We have developed a set of image processing routines oriented to the biomedical image analysis
- Attained performance results on GPU depends on the nature of the operation:

Feature Extraction: LBP Operator

- LBP: functional and easy-to-implement texture feature
- Widely used in facial expression recognition, content based image retrieval,...

CPU/GPU Cluster Implementation

- Tested on a GPU/CPU cluster (BALE cluster, Ohio Supercomputer Center)
- 16 visualization nodes:

• Defined within an $n \times n$ neighborhood of each pixel:

• The LBP feature is invariant to rotation and local or global intensity variations

• Some results (including Cg-CUDA comparison):

Image	CPU	GPU	GPU	GPU/CPU
\mathbf{size}	C++	(Cg)	(CUDA)	speed up
$128 \mathrm{x} 128$	3.95	1.01	0.072	54.86x
$256 \mathrm{x} 256$	17.83	1.09	0.140	127.35x
$512 \mathrm{x} 512$	76.70	1.92	0.415	184.81x
$1024 \mathrm{x} 1024$	310.65	6.88	1.564	198.62x
2048 x 2048	1234.96	23.91	6.114	201.98x

8

• Using *Datacutter* middleware for the parallelization

• Attained **very good scalability** results

	Color	LBP	Zernike	Co-occurrence
	conversion	feature	moments	matrices
Input	Pixel	3x3 window	Image tile	Var. size window
Output	Pixel	Single value	Set of values	Var. size matrix
Color channels	Three	One	One	Three
Computat. range	Per-pixel	Per-pixel	Per-tile	Per-pixel
Computat. weight	Very light	Light	Strong	Heavy
Operator type	Streaming	Streaming	Recursive	Recurrence
Data reuse	None	Little	Heavy	Strong
Locality access	None	Little	Strong	Heavy
Arithm. intensity	Heavy	Average	Strong	Low
ALU or memory	Arithmetic	Arithm.	Arithmetic	Memory
intensive		and m.a.		access
Memory access	Low	Average	Strong	Heavy
GPU speed up	25-250x	50-200x	1-2x	0.7-1x

• Most of the computations are performed on the GPU Further work

• Advanced architectures: Tesla, SLI-based multiGPU systems... • Further optimizations of co-occ. matrices and Zernike moments • Evaluate the impact of double precision support on modern GPUs